

Main Office: Post Box 37 Ashiya, Hiyogo 659 JAPAN tel.(0797)31-3088 United States: P.O.Box 5205 Seattle, WA 98105 U.S.A. tel. (206) 522-3679

4

September 5, 1979

Dearfriends:

Greetings from Seattle. The Committee has now established an office in the United States (we will be known as (ICHRT-USA) and we will be relaying information from our head office in Japan. In addition to mailing out the regular newsletters from our Japan office, we will - when necessary - initiate urgent action campaigns. We have, during the past month and a half done three mailings to a limited group of people. This is the first letter to the full mailing list. If you have comments or suggestions, please let us know.

The present letter is an urgent action campaign: during the past week authorities in Taiwan arrested two persons, (1) Chang Hua-min (), and (2) Hung chih-liang (). These arrests come right after the release.; on August 23, 1979 of two other persons, (3) Chen Bor-wen (), and Yang Yu-rong (). We will discuss each of these cases separately.

A positive development worth reporting is that **Meili Tao** (Beautiful Island, Formosa Monthly Magazine), a new publication of the Democratic Opposition Movement quickly sold out its first issue. The circulation in Taiwan of this issue was 40,000.

1. Chang Hua-min () arrested.

On September 4, 1979 Mr. Chang Hua-min, a 52 year-old Chinese mainlander was arrested in Taipei. Mr. Chang is a prolific writer who has also served as editor of magazines. Several years ago he completed a two-volume work on Chinese culture, and in March 1978 he was the editor of a magazine which reported on the proceedings in the Taiwan Provincial Assembly. The magazine was banned after its first issue.

Mr. Chang is known to his friends as very anti—communist and only mildly critical of the KMT. His arrest and the charges of "rebellion" and "doing propaganda for the communists" come as a great surprise to those who know him.

He has during the past four years on occasion worked as a campaign aide to several members of the Democratic Opposition Movement, most recently (Nov-Dec 1978) with Kang Ning-hsiang. Approximately a week ago he visited the office of the Democratic Opposition and asked if they had some work for him -- up until that time he had not been able to find work, and had been selling soup in front of City Hall in Taipei.

The arrest of Mr. Chang can thus be viewed as an attempt to intimidate the opposition. Please send letters/telegrams to President Carter, the State Department, and Senators and Representatives from your area, Request that they strongly protest the arrest of Mr. Chang, and ask that they urge to release Mr. Chang immediately. Mr. Chang is not married and has no close relatives.

2. Hung Chih-liang () arrested.

In a separate case, agents of the Investigation Bureau in Taiwan on August 30 1979 arrested Mr. Hung Chihliang (). Mr. Hung is not an active participant in the activities-of the Democratic Opposition Movement, although he was the publisher of an agricultural magazine which in May 197B printed a number of articles critical of the governing authorities in Taiwan.

Mr, Hung is being accused of "making propaganda for the rebels, and of meeting a "communist rebel" during a visit to Japan three months ago. Mr. Hung has on several occasions met members of the Democratic Opposition Movement in Taiwan, and could thus easily be used by the KMT to "red-hat" (implicate) some of the D.O.M. members. The KMT applied a similar approach in the "Wu Tai-an" case during last Spring, when they used Mr. Wu -- who was executed in May to implicate 76 year-old opposition leader Yu Tengfa, who is now serving an eight years' sentence.

Mr. Hung lives in Yuen-lin, Chang-hua County, is married and has two sons and a daughter.

Please urge President Carter, the State Department, and your Congressmen to request an *open* trial in *civil* court for Mr. Hung.

Addresses:

President Jimmy Carter

The White House

Washington D.C. 20500

Secretary Cyrus Vance
Department of State
Washington D.C. 20520
te1, (202) 632-7710

Senator Representative
Senate Office Building House Office Building
Washington D.C. 20510 Washington D.C. 20515

Congress central telephone switchboard: (202) 224-3121

3. Chen Bor-wen () and Yang Yu-rong () released.

Chao Liu (TIME) editor Chen and printing plant owner Yang, both members of the Democratic Opposition Movement — who had been arrested on August 9, 1979 -- were released on August 23, 1979. The release was the result of an international campaign on their behalf, highlighted by the hunger-strike of 29 year-old Taiwanese reporter Chen Wan-jen in New York.

Taiwanese readers will find enclosed a statement by Wu Tse-long, another co-editor of **Chao Liu** Magazine.

1聚急消息,一九七九年九月四日,1到日常特移在台北逮捕强化民先生。這是此八月州日逮捕洪誌良议後,又一迫害人權的行動。張化民被捕的罪名是"涉嫌叛乱」的"為雁宣傳」。国民党之选次用同样的罪名,施行在余登登的洪詩良的案件上。

强化民於一九四九年隨国民党到台湾、各時僅二十歲九左。一九六年,他生自立院報餐表一篇《草·被判刑八年、結果他被関了八年四個用、因為生他期满出狱赔、我不到保释人。後来降钱应为他做保證人。在就期間,他写了許多稿件、大部份被没收、人達出版一套書:「中国文化之珍断」(二冊)等是化民堅持反共、信仰三民主義。至台北市政府前、黄鹂梅渚》生。一週前:他常到党外新誌「美丽岛」的新个室、表示想找一個固定的歌译、从结束蒙瞪梅湯的生活。末料,一週後、即遭逮捕。

按惠,张代民常等针与開信,呼额台灣建之一個「自至自主」的政府,或因此而下統。专年目,他都了一个雜语「整幹雜誌」(音報)、享行批學有議会的消息,第一期即被停刑, 去年十一月,他担任张謇祥的助逢负。 超然 國民党 正一生一生 通近党外人士的核心。 請你主到行款、授救强任民人修阅英统)

- 2. 富堡之声, 發行人法誌良於八月3十日被迫、混《同样是浅嫌额记。今年三月他到日本観光, 垄折路到北京(这是根据国民党官者的根纸), 請您如注意国民党的行勤。台湾的党外人士呼额国民党公開審判, 此昭信人民。(秦閱英文信)
- 3. (莫劢島) 第一部之方三八月十日发行、这别舒复四萬份、言著价以 支持「八中年代」的心情号支持「莫力局」

下面是台灣黨外人士「地下 無「潮流」另一位主編吳哲朗,表示 等國民黨强行收購。 年為國民黨强行收購。 日起曾在紐約絶食抗議。 吳氏自「潮流」被禁後, 中起曾在紐約絶食抗議。 吳氏自「潮流」被禁後,即 是氏的抗議轉載自紐 約台灣同鄉會的刑物「鄉 新台灣同鄉會的刑物「鄉 ション。 記者。該報去 奶,表示抗議 吳哲朗,在「 工「地下」報 即離 八月 喌 九

手段壓備局, 明奉的 輝献好 印的朋 對陳博文及楊裕榮兩位先生的被捕,身為手段壓抑合灣民主選動的錯誤的又一步。其是國民黨當局最近半年以來以各種補。這是國民黨當局最近半年以來以各種與與和副廠負責人楊裕榮先生,被國民黨的輝印刷廠負責人楊裕榮先生,被國民黨的好朋友——爲台灣民主運動不斷犧牲與的好朋友——爲台灣民主運動不斷犧牲與的好朋友——八十十年八月七日晚上八時許,我

伯仁為我而死」之痛。 為待罪羔羊,我內心實有「我不殺伯仁, 為待罪羔羊,我內心實有「我不殺伯仁, 可刷廠負責人,兩人竟因此雙雙被捕,成 我,而今陳博文先生只不過是承印潮流的 的創辦人棄執筆人只有兩個人,陳婉與和 能痛。事實上國民黨當局何嘗不知道潮流

潮流創辦人之一的我內心有太多的數疚

之權

精神上的無形摧殘,令人難以容忍。 推利幾乎已被剝奪殆盡,這是對台灣人民知之 地持了台灣的輿論界卅年,台灣人民知之 地持了台灣的輿論界卅年,台灣人民知之 本有「知之權利」——知道事實與相的權利 在與正民主自由的國度,每一個人都

潮流」編者吳哲朗聲明

憲的 捕亦 何

酷

也 ٥

(例如:報禁政策),我們又爲什麼不 到那一條規定?更何况國民黨可以公開違 到滿的交印人與承印人,又根據出版法 (謝清) 一條規定?更何况國民黨可以公開違 法,即使潮流違法了出版法,國民黨逮 法(難道是違憲的出版法)?即使惡辦 我很懷疑潮流所能算爲違法,又所違

不違 法逮 辦違

但是中政藉萬 大應智國愛共權此定 陸該是 民好政 確機, 有是清

良心

** 莫,其容忍之量何其小也,其作法又何其** 以前,以前,则以为,必然去之而後快,或** 可搬有全國计多。,必然去之而後快,或** 可搬有全國计多。。 如此,數百萬份愈是,如,數百萬份愈是不可,以於發行等工作。 如此有全國计多。 如此,數百萬份愈是不可,對於發行等工作。 如此,數百萬份愈一是不可,對於發行等工作。 如此,數百萬份愈一是不可,對於發行等工作。 如此,數百萬份愈一是不可,對於發行等工作。 如此,數百萬份愈一是一樣,對於發行等工作。 如此,數百萬份。

重能 ?違法 (違背惡法)? 兩相比 較

其罪 誰

,國民黨當局允宜三思,不要再繼續錯下,國民黨當局允宜三思,不要再繼續錯下它,千萬不要故意地撲滅它、阻塞它,否認,聰明的執政者應該去適應它,順從黨流,聰明的執政者應該去適應它,順從 去 0

哲 朗 九七九年八月八

午寫於避難中